UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Patricia Geib	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C-08-0346
V. County of Marin, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 10	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENF Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settle appreciably more likely to meet their needs th ADR phone conference and may not file this j ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule Private Process:	E) (ADR L.R. 5) The ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is an any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private ADR (please identify p	rocess and provider)
	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 4/10/08	David M. Poore Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 4/10/08	Stephen R. Raab Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	•	
		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE